



HLA Transition Report to USD(A&T)

Decision Briefing to the EXCIMS April 2, 1998

Captain Jim Hollenbach, USN Defense Modeling and Simulation Office http://www.dmso.mil

Why a Report to USD(A&T)?

Directed by USD(A&T) in original policy memo:

"To monitor compliance with the HLA, the DoD Components shall...report...their HLA-compliance intentions for each simulation the Component owns or sponsors..."

"...DMSO shall provide me with periodic reports on the Department's progress towards compliance with the HLA."

"If a Component believes it is impractical for a simulation to comply with the HLA, or that HLA-compliance cannot be achieved in a timely manner, it may submit a waiver request... In consultation with the EXCIMS...I will then decide if an exception to the HLA-compliance requirement is warranted, and if so, the form of that exception."

- To comply with action plan approved at 31 Oct 97 EXCIMS
- To acquaint Dr. Gansler with the good news of DoD's HLA efforts
- To get USD(A&T) approval of our cooperative implementation of HLA policy

Correspondence Package

The correspondence package (front to back) consists of:

- EXSUM for Dr. Gansler, with EXCIMS Chair endorsement
- Proposed response from Dr. Gansler
- One page cover memo from DMSO to USD(A&T) via the EXCIMS, forwarding the report
- The 11 page "HLA Transition Report" prepared by the HLA Transition Issues Team (unsigned), with four attachments totaling another 22 pages
- Coordination record

Organization of the Report

- Introduction
- Rationale for HLA Use
- HLA Transition Support
- Definitions to Guide HLA Policy Implementation
- HLA Transition Plans
- Follow-on Actions
- Four attachments
 - -10 Sep 96 Kaminski HLA policy memo
 - -List of 451 simulations committed to HLA compliance
 - -List of 74 simulations proposed for HLA waivers
 - -List of 137 simulations to be retired by Oct 1, 2000

A summary of each section follows

"Introduction"

- Introduces HLA Transition Issues Team and its central role in fostering a coordinated, commonsense implementation of HLA policy across DoD
- Explains this report is the team's product
- Provides an overview of report contents

"Rationale for HLA Use"

- User needs, and hence simulation representations, are diverse.
- Need flexibility to reuse simulations, individually or in combinations, building new only when existing ones cannot satisfy requirements.
- Must also be able to flexibly link simulations to live systems for testing, training, COA analysis, mission rehearsal, etc.
- DoD therefore needs a flexible, composable approach to constructing simulation environments. That requires interoperability be "built in" to the maximum possible extent.
- Report cites interoperability concerns in 1995 Chairman's Program Assessment and FY97 Defense Planning Guidance
- HLA satisfies most important consideration for interoperability and reuse, but it is not a "magic wand." Careful planning is still required.
- HLA compliance delivers new capabilities and allows the products of different organizations to be wisely used together as user needs dictate, yielding new capabilities without having to build them.
- Increasing reuse, saving time, avoiding expense, reducing risk

"HLA Transition Support"

- HLA is evolving smartly under the EXCIMS' Architecture
 Management Group (AMG), with the right players involved
- A comprehensive suite of supporting software is being distributed, in the public domain, with full documentation and technical support
- Runtime Infrastructure, Object Model Development Tool, Object Model Data Dictionary, Object Model Library
- All HLA information is available in the public domain, and COTS products are emerging
- A comprehensive education and outreach program is underway
- HLA is being established as an IEEE standard and NATO is adopting HLA, yielding more reusable products, a stronger industrial base and more opportunities for international cooperation
- User-friendly compliance testing capability is in place

"Definitions to Guide HLA Policy Implementation" (1 of 2)

Report requests USD(A&T) approval for seven working definitions to guide the implementation of HLA policy:

- 1 "DoD Simulations"
 - HLA policy applies to all simulations, including simulators, but does not apply to models (by the DoD definition, not their name)
 - does not mean:
 - the internal components of a simulation
 - Part Task Trainers (carefully defined)
 - stimulators used only for production line quality control or diagnostic testing
 - if a system is not a simulation, it need not be reported
- 2 "Simulation Projects and Programs"
- 3 "Development or Modification"

"Definitions to Guide HLA Policy Implementation" (2 of 2)

- 4 "Retire"
- 5 "Own or Sponsor"
 - includes providing funding, paying a license fee
- 6 "Certificate of Compliance"
 - common process administered by MSOSA under DMSO guidance
- 7 "Waiver Submittal"
 - -through Component M&S management offices to DMSO
 - DMSO will then coordinate across the DoD Components and process a recommendation to EXCIMS and USD(A&T)

"HLA Transition Plans"

Three categories are discussed in body of report; the specific simulations are listed in attachments

- "Simulations Committed to HLA Compliance"
 - 451 simulations
 - -for information only; omission does not mean need not comply
- "Simulations Recommended for Waiver from HLA Compliance"
 - -74 simulations (45 of which will be retired, but after Oct 1, 2000)
 - requires a USD(A&T) decision to waive
 - careful investigation; characteristics discussed on next slide
 - extensions to "no can pay" and "no can play" are indefinite
 - list will be reviewed annually; waiver can be recommended for withdrawal if circumstances change
 - waivers don't automatically apply to successors or similar simulations
- "Simulations to be Retired by Oct 1, 2000"
 - 137 simulations; will not transition to HLA
 - -for information only

Distinguishing Characteristics of Simulations Recommended for Waivers

- Simulations with a planned and programmed replacement which will not be available prior to the "no can play" date of October 1, 2000.
- Simulations of systems that are scheduled to be retired from the operational inventory by October 1, 2002.
- Parametric assessments in design simulations.
- Simulations whose decidedly infrequent projected use of only once or twice annually does not justify the cost of HLA-compliance.
- Simulations that are commercial off the shelf (COTS), licensed or proprietary, were built primarily for other than DoD-customers, and over which DoD has no effective leverage to achieve compliance.
- Simulations whose national security circumstances/risks preclude federation.
- Simulations whose only computational platforms are obsolete and for which source code is no longer available.
- Simulations of systems where the systems themselves are used exclusively for training and not intended to perform any other operational mission (e.g., training aircraft such as the T-45).

Each case must be examined; these are not precise criteria and will evolve

"Follow-On Actions"

- Component M&S management offices will convey USD(A&T) waiver decisions to the appropriate organizations.
- DMSO will post this HLA Transition Report and the USD(A&T) response on the Internet, providing an integrated package of HLA policy and its implementation.
- In the fall, the HLA Transition Issues Team will forward another recommendation regarding those simulations committed to HLA compliance, but whose circumstances warrant a time extension to achieve this.

EXCIMS Decision

"Does the EXCIMS concur with this report and recommend it be forwarded to USD(A&T) for approval?"

Subsequent USD(A&T) Action

- On April 7th, Dr. Gansler:
 - approved the report in its entirety (including definitions and waivers)
 - described the HLA as a masterful achievement
 - noted importance of quickly transitioning legacy simulations
 - stated his commitment to the HLA policy and insistence the Components plan and program accordingly
 - encouraged industry to follow suit
 - thanked the EXCIMS and HLA Transition Issues Team